G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

SRA 257-04-(10-13)
SRA 11-17-(1-7, 9-18)
SRA 172-09-(12-4)

192 /.

(SRA .)

SRA **File No.** 189—20

Serial Nos. 1, 1a, 4-6, 8

Later references.

SRA 111-23-172
SRA. 27-24-146.
SRA 35. 25. 9+10.
SRA 26. 26. 122.
" 439-35 (1-2)
" SP. 6-41 (1-4)

Pres. to Par.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 6

Part B for August 1921

SUBJECT.

Proposal of Mrry Mudduswamy naik, Royadroog Palleygar Pensioner, to adopt as his successor, one Venkatadri naick his daughters son.

To Mrry Mudduswamy naick.

No. 7.1285 & 27-8-21.

Informs him that his request cannot be granted

WD 1868—GPB—16,826—23-7-21

DNR 31.8.21

G. R. S. III—1] 1920

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(SA)

NOTES.

File No. 189/20

FILE HEADING.

Rayadrug Pallegar Family Pensions

From

M. R. Ry Nanddiswami Naik, Rayadrug Pallegar Pensioner

No. Pen Dated 4.3.21 Received 16.3.21

Diary No. 3591	Enclos. — Spare Copies.	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

States that he wishes to take in adoption his widowed daughters Son - Venkadri Naik & requests ~~him~~ that to accord Sanction to the same & to recognise the adopted boy as his successor to the pension now drawn by him

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The petitioner - Nanddiswami Naik is a Pallegar Pensioner of Rayadrug Family drawing a monthly pension of ~~Rs~~ 103-2-0

2) The petitioner States that his only Son had died some two years back & his wife also predeceased

NOTES—First Sheet.

3591

Serial No.

predeceased him-leaving no children surviving -

3) As he is now 75 years old this causing him & his wife great anxiety & trouble in their old age; he wishes to adopt his widowed daughter's son — Venkatasubbaiah. Naik who is 18 years old to succeed his pension - so that the line of descent may be perpetuated & the pious wish of a Hindu may be satisfied & therefore prays that the above adoption may be sanctioned & the adopted boy be recognized as the successor to the pension drawn by him -

4) A copy of G.O. dd 29. X. 1887 stating the principles to be observed in recognising adoptions to Palliyar Pensions is put up.

5) Asst: Secy (Legislative) & Govt. Advocate may perhaps be consulted.

6) No order for put up cases allowing adoption. This may perhaps be sent to the Comptroller for enquiry & report

7) Name [illegible] of

Place see Sir K. Seshaiya's note dd 20. X. 87 in file (D)

F

whether?

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

instances in which applications are made by male holders of Pallegar sanads for recognising the adoption by [illegible] - Should there are some instances - Many such - there may be early transfer - There has been delay in the submission of the case - (Please see the Secy note) - It should be avoided -

[illegible]

30/5/24

8) S.H. File 257-04-13 sanctioning the adoption of Sy. Bharmappa naik in chitaloorg family is put up [illegible] 09-4 -

9) Reference is invited to Sir K. Seshadri Iyer's notes & orders on the selection of adoptions in the [illegible] Pallegar families & also to the Nundroo Chettiar's case in Gudikote family & reversing the latter orders by members of Council & Dewan (Sir P. N. Krishna Murthy) & approved by H. H. Submitted for orders

7/4

10) Reference is also invited to the adoption of Venkatanarasamma in Chickballapur family approved by Sir K. Seshadri (Flag-R)

29/4

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

3591

4

G. R. S. III-2)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

S 14 File No. 1 89 of 1920.

Serial No.

Except the Case marked H, no other case has been heard in which a male Pattya applied for permission to adopt.

TRC 30/4

11) Reference is invited to the precedent - Flagged H. and the Dewan's order of 18. II. 05.

H

Page 3 (S&H 257-04-13)

12) The petition may be forwarded to the Complr. for a notice being issued to all the persons interested in the suppression of the pairing, to file objections, if any, within a fixed (30 ~~days~~) days and for a republishing instituted upon the proposal with the recommendation within a

S. H. Fo

The delay in the submission of the Case was due to the hearing of Appeal H.

Flscy) foreign Secy. 18/30-4-24.

15/30/4

3399/3/5

Dewan (Hd. Compsy.)

Read para Flscy's Memo

— K

18/5/24

DEWAN'S OFFICE. Read. on MAY 16 1921 Reg. No. 7156

13) Keep the case ready 19.5.21

14/5/21

14) 17/5

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Kalo

G. R. S. III-2)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

SRT File No. 189 of 1920

259/1169 5)

Serial No.	
	Draft submtd for approval Mus 25/5 Stamps 26/5 Ron 26/5
1(a)	To Comptroller, DC 6752 dt 28.5.21 Forwards the petition for favour of enquiry & report with his recommendation
4	Petn dt 17.6.21 from Mr. R.P. Kanti Naick, Madura. States that the proposed adoption of by Nachiappa Naick is objectionable & prays that the same may not be sanctioned, for reasons stated by him. This is a reply to the Inspg Treasury Officer's notice issued to him with reference to our letter dt 28.5.21 Draft submtd forwarding the above to Comptr. is submtd in continuation Mus 1/7 Stamps 7/7/21

Note for U.S. Stamps

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

4769 382 - 67

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

SM File No. 189 of 1920

Serial No.	
5	Do Comptroller, No. dt 24 & 5.7.21. Forwards the petn of Koneti Naik in continuation of Al: No
6	From Comptroller, No 139 dt 25.7.21 Reports that the other two ~~members~~ pensioners of the Railway Family are not in favor of the adoption now proposed & forwards their replies in original for information. Submitted for orders with reference to para 13 supra. Govt: Advocate may be consulted if approved.
7	The objections preferred by Koneti Naiken & Divakar Naick against the proposed adoption of a daughter's ~~son~~, in preference to the nearest of kin, ~~to~~ available seem the valid. Whatever may be the decision of Govt as regards the validity of the adoption of daughter's son — On this point there might be some decisions, in support of the petitioners request —, so far as succession to Pallegar pensions is concerned, the Conditions prescribed in para 9 of G.O. dt 8.7

Not necessary Naick K

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

382 7)

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | S & A File No. 189 of 1920-21.

Serial No.

have been observed.

The petitioner being a male member, previous sanction before adoption would not be necessary, provided the other essential condition that the adoption should be made by him is fulfilled.

In the present case the latter condition is not observed and in so far as previous sanction is concerned, the adoption of adoption can not be permitted in the circumstances of the case.

The Comptroller's recommendation has been approved & the petition rejected.

Please The Orders may be issued. 18
8/8/21.

470 9/8

I agree. May be Referred to the Chief Secty. 11.8.21 18 11.8

Orders)

I agree in the observations 12/8

Dewan) I agree to 18 13/8

18.8.21

Please issue orders accordingly

19.8.21

DEWAN'S OFFICE
Recd. on AUG 15 1921
Reg. No. 1038

Note

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

S/1 File No. 89 of 19 20

Serial No.	
	Draft Submitted for approval Issued 25/8 25/8
8	To Mr P Raj. Muthuswami Nadar, H 1285 dt 27.8.21 Informed that his request cannot be sanctioned

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
Date of Receipt 15/2/21
Petn. Regr. No. 162

Rajasevadhurina

Sirdar M.Kantharaj Urs, Esq., B.A., C.S.I.,

DEWAN OF MYSORE.

Most Respected Sir,

I respectfully beg to bring to your kind notice that I am one of the Pollegars of Royadroog Family enjoying a stipend of Rs.103-2-0, from the Government of Mysore. I had an only son who died about two years ago. His wife had predeceased him leaving no children surviving. As it now stands my family will become extinct and this idea is causing me and my wife great anxiety and trouble in our old age. I am now of 75 years in age. It is therefore my very humble wish that I should take in adoption my widowed daughter's son, Venkatadri Naick, a boy of about eighteen years, so that my line of descent may be perpetuated and the pious wish of a Hindu may be gratified. At present myself and my wife are under the care and protection of our daughter and our grand-son. The Government of H.H. the Maharaja have been graciously pleased to accord their very kind sanction for adoption in several Pollegar families. The adoption of daughter's son (Douhitra) has been recognised under the Hindu Law and usage and as my present request has been supported by law

and

(10)

and immemorial custom I most respectfully beg - to request that the Government will be graciously pleased to accord their kind sanction to the adoption of my grand-son named above and recognise him as my successor to the pension now enjoyed by me.

I beg to remain,

Most Respected Sir,

PALGHAT,

4th March 1921.

Your most obedient servant,

08. 5'9

Admitted

R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 3591

General and Revenue Secretariat

(SRA)

, *the* 191 .

Date of issue 28 May/21

Signed by W B Sreenivasaya

Section SRA

File No. 189/20

Serial No. 11(a)

No. 76752

To

The Comptroller to Govt

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith a petition dt 4.3.21 (in original when helping also copy) from M. R. Raj Nandu Swamy Naik of Raidrug Family soliciting sanction for the adoption of his ~~grandson~~ grandson Venkatesh Naik & to request that a notice may be issued through G.A.D. to all the persons interested in the perusal of the family

over.

2/5/21

family to file objections if any
within ~~a month as to period be prescribed (30 days)~~ 3 days and a
report submitted on the proposal
with your recommendation
within a fortnight thereafter.

Navette,

15/5/21

Dr H Deery.

Ans
27/5

TSN
26/5

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

Petn no 1556

(13)

By Regd Post 21.6.21 To

Madura, 17th June, 1921.

DIARY No. 4769

1920 - 1921
Section. Jud
File No. 189-20

His Honour, the Dewan Sahib,

Dewan of Mysore.

The Humble petition of R. P. Konati Naicker, son of Nanjundappa Naicker, Palaigar, Raidrug, Mysore State, State Pensioner, residing at Madura:

Most Respectfully Sheweth:-

1. I have been in receipt of a communication from the office in charge of the State Huzur Treasury that one Muthuswami Naick, my paternal uncle's son and also a Palaigar of Raidrug and a state petitioner has petitioned to the Circar to be given permission to adopt his daughter's son as heir to himself.

2. If such permission were to be given the heirship to the Palaigar which has all along been in our family goes to a foreign family and gothram. Further as there are boys among the close agnates, Viz., my two sons who can be taken in adoption, it is evident that the petition of the said Muthuswami Naick is extremely unjust and not bona fide and is calculated to work great hardship on the other members of the family in whom the Palaigarship of Raidrug has been continuing. Further my paternal uncle and ourselves have still been continuing as a joint family from the time of our

grand father without any division. It is therefore against law and custom to adopt the daughter's son in the circumstances mentioned above.

3. Further I understand that it has been ruled distinctly during the Dewanship of Renga Chariar Esquire and Sir Seshadri Aiyar Esquire, that an adoption if it is ever to be made should not be made of a boy from a foreign family and Gothram.

4. That further as the pension now drawn by the said Muthusami Naick will under law go to my branch of the family, i.e., myself by survivorship it is extremely detrimental to my interests if an adoption is to be made and that even from a different family. Further I have a big family to support having in addition to the two sons above mentioned, two daughters one of them yet to be given in marriage and all of them dependent on me.

5. In the above circumstances considering my large family and the position and fortune which is now on the ebb it is prayed that your honour be pleased and to render justice to me by not granting the permission asked for.

3139

15

Please address all future communications to the Comptroller to the Govt. of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore.
In reply to this Comn. please refer to
No. S.H.Try. 139

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER TO THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Dated, Bangalore, the 25~~th~~ July 1921

GEN & REV. SECT. 27 JUL 1921

DIARY No. 382

1920 - 1921
Section S&A
File No. 189-20
Serial No. 6

From

L. Bishtopanth Badami, Esq., B.A.,
~~M. N. KRISHNA RAO, ESQ.,~~
Offg: Comptroller to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

M. N. Krishna Rao, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Financial *Department.*

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.Fl.6752/S&A 189-20 dated 28th May 1921 forwarding for enquiry and report the petition of M.R.Ry. Mudduswam Naik, Pollegar of Royadrug soliciting sanction of Government to the adop tion by him of his daughter's son Venkatadri Naik, I have the honor to report that the ~~order~~ other two pensioners of the Royadrug Family, namely - Messrs. Komati Naik and ~~Dyakuru~~ Divakara Naik are not in favour of this adoption. Their replies are herewith forwarded in original for the information of Government.

2. The adoption proposed by Mudduswami Naik is opposed to the intentions of Government expressed in para 9 of their proceedings No.1678 dated 29th October 1887.

3. The petition of M.R.Ry. Mudduswami Naik received with the lette under reply and that of M.R.Ry. Komati Naik received with your letter No Fl.24/S&A 189-20-5 of the 5th July 1921 are also herewith returned.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Offg: Comptroller.

MSR/26-7

26-7-21

76

Madura, 17th June, 1921.

To

Bakshi Sahib, Esquire,
Huzur Khajana,
Mysore State,
M Y S O R E.

RECEIVED 20-6-21
REPLIED

Encl 5 189-20-6

Most Honoured Sir,

I, R. P. Konetti Naicker, son of late Nanjundappa Naicker, Palayagar, Raidrug, Mysore State, most respectfully beg to represent the following to Your Honour with the fullest confidence of success:-

I am in receipt of Your Honour's office No. 1204-05 dated the 4th June 1921 on 6th June 1921.

From the above I understand that my paternal uncle's son, Muthuswami Naicker, has informed Your Honour's Office that he is to adopt his daughter's son as heir and successor to his property and pension.

By his doing this the heirship goes into a foreign family and Gothram. My father and the father of Muthukrishna Naicker have been maintaining a joint family till their death. I have two sons. It is against the law and the custom for him to adopt his daughter's son in the circumstances stated above.

Further it has been ruled distinctly during the Devanship of Rangacharlar Esquire and Seshadri Ayyar Esquire, that an adoption should not be taken from a foreign Family and Gothram. This can be verified from the records of Your Honour's Office.

In the above circumstances I most humbly pray that Your Honour may be graciously pleased to render justice to me considering my large family and the hard days.

I humbly beg to remain,
Most Honoured Sir,
Your Honour's most obedient Servant/

No 1204-ಆ

Emlet 189-2016

17

ಸೀ ಸೀ ಸೀ

several
of Pallegar families will
be frustrated and such a
bad example to all Bara-
wardars of Pallegar Persons
to do away the pensions
as they like causing harm
to the members of other own
families who are not in
their good books.

Further I beg to submit
that if such a practice were
to be given effect to there
will be an utter confusion
and insurmountable diffi-
culties for the Govt in disposal
of Pension cases as a member
of one Pallegar family will
be made eligible to draw
pensions of a different Pallegar
family and a person who
does not at all belong to any
Pallegar family will be made
eligible afresh to receive
Political pension from the
Govt and the real members
of Pallegar families entitled
to get the benefit of Political
pensions will have to be
thrown out as ineligible.

Under these facts I humbly
pray that the benign Govt of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore
will be so kind as not to

(25)

of Royadrey Pallejo paid
from the overplus of R.
Gen Mead's Treasury Warrant,
for which sum of $[illegible]
I have drawn this Order.

[illegible]

Upon Presentation of this Order
and Receipt to Pay
Pay[illegible]
Respectfully
[illegible]

A455

21

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

FINANCIAL SECRETARIAT,

No. Fl. 1285 / SRA 189-20-8 *Bangalore, Dated* 27 August *1921*

From M.N.Krishna Rao, Esq., B.A.,

Financial Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

To

M.R.Ry.

Muddusvami Naik of

Royadrug Pallegar Family,

Bangalore City.

Sir,

With reference to your petition dated 17th June 1921 praying for permission to adopt your daughters son - Venkatadri Naik with a view to his ~~having~~ being recognised as successor to the political pensior now drawn by you, I am directed to communicate the regret of Govern-ment, that your request cannot be sanctioned.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Financial Secretary to Govt.

No Fl/1286 dy 27/8/20

copy to Collector Bellary for information

mk.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 392

General and Revenue Secretariat

(SM)

, *the* *191* .

Date of issue 27/8/21

Signed by Mr B. S. Ryan

Section SM

File No. 189/20

Serial No. 8.

No. PC 1285

To

Sri Rajah

Chidambaram Nath &

Rajadroga Pallavar Family

Bangalore City

Sir,

With reference to your petition

In reply to his petition dated 17.6.21 addressed to the Dewan of Travancore praying for ~~the recognition of his adoption~~ permission to ~~to take~~ in adoption ~~his~~ your daughter's son - Venkatesh Naik ~~to be~~ as ~~his~~ adopted successor to the pension now drawn by ~~him~~ you, ~~the petitioner is~~ I am directed to inform ~~him~~ you that ~~the~~ Government have no objection to ~~his~~ your taking the boy in adoption and that ~~the~~ Government will be pleased to recognise the boy as successor to the pension now drawn by you.

with a view to his being recognised

over.

Communicate the

~~Board~~ Government's orders to the ~~petitioner~~ Taluk Board concerned for information and necessary action.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

(23) Cairo

Informed that the proposed adoption is not in conformity with the policy laid down in my D.O. No. 678 dated 29.X.1923. The paper cannot be cleared

for

Sir H. Stern

25/8

Hkl

24/8

Memo No. 1286 dated 27 Aug

Copy to Capt for information with reference to his letter No. S.H.D. 109 dated 25.7.24

R

For H. Stern

Hkl

24/8

TRR
25/8

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

List of Pallegar pensions, etc. —*concld.*

Number of Pallegar Families	Name of Family	Serial No.	Name of Pallegar	Date of birth	Amount of monthly stipend	The place of payment	Government order sanctioning the stipend	Remarks
	Molakalmuru—*concld.*				Rs. a. p.			
		57	3. N. Kasturi Naik ...	1871	84 6 0	State Huzur Treasury, Bangalore.	G. O. No. P. P. 26-27, dated 28th November 1899.	
		58	4. R. Kattappa Naik ...	1868	74 8 3	Do ...	G. O. No. 7324-786, dated 24th December 1889.	
		59	5. R. Bharmappa Naik ...	1885	74 8 3	Do ...	Do do do	
14	Rayadroog ...	60	1. Muddu Sami Naik ...	...	103 2 0	Do ...	.....	
		61	2. Konate Naik ...	1866	87 8 9	Do ...	G. O. No. 18-19—S. & A. Fl. 93-4, dated 13th and 14th September 1897.	
		62	.....	...	19 15 9	.....	.....	
		63	5. Devakere Naik ...	1874	18 12 0	Do ...	G. O. No. 1997-8—S. & A. 128-06-2, dated 7th September 1906.	
	Tarikere ...	64	1. ...	...	253 2 0	.....	.....	
		65	3. Surja Ramappa Naik (minor).	...	98 7 0	Do ...	G. O. No. 369-70—S. & A. 285-10-11, dated 27th July 1911.	
14					*4,749 11 5			

*ABSTRACT OF PAYMENTS.

		Rs. a. p.
Payments in the State Huzur Treasury, Bangalore ...		4,488 8 8
Do Chitaldrug District Treasury ...		231 2 9
Do Mercara Treasury (Coorg) ...		30 0 0
		4,749 11 5

STATE HUZUR TREASURY,
Bangalore, 21st November 1918.

WD 1143—GPB—30—2-1-19

M. SRINIVASAMURTI,
Officer in charge, State Huzur Treasury.

		31	14. Kattappa Naik	...	1840	31	12	4	State Huzur Treasury, Bangalore.	G. O. No. 2220-203, dated 12th June 1886.
		32	15. C. Jayamma Naik	...	1883	31	12	4	Do ...	G. O. No. 192-3, dated 30th June 1904.
		33	16. Kattappa Naik (minor)	...	1902	31	12	4	Do ...	G. O. No. 1989-90—S. & A. 156-06-7, dated 7th September 1906.
		34	17. Ranganamma Nagathi	...	...	31	12	5	Do ...	G. O. No. Fl. 1864-9—S. & A. 1075-11 dated 25th September 1916.
		35	18. Ramappa Naik (minor)	...	1897	31	12	5	Do ...	G. O. No. 11597-98—P. P. 50, dated 11th June 1902.
		36	19. Hemmappa Naik	...	1892	15	14	0	Do ...	G. O. No. 18 —P. & A. F. 10 of 93, dated 5th October 1893.
		37	20. Nanjappa Naik	...	1879	15	14	1	Do ...	Do do do
7	Devanhalli ...	38	.....		...	31	12	1	Do ...	.....
8	Gudikota ..	39	1. Kesavamma Nagathi	...	1858	139	13	0	Do ...	G. O. No. 5333-4—P. P. 29, dated 3rd October 1902.
9	Gumnayakanpalya ...	40	1. Ramalingappa Naik	...	1849	572	0	4	Do ...	G. O. No. 5464-585, dated 14th October 1889.
10	Hagalvadi ...	41	1 ...		...	.....			.....	.....
		42	2. H. Begonda Raj	...	1878	80	0	0	Do ...	G. O. No. 139-40, dated 7th March 1904.
		43	3. H. Channaveera Raj	...	1880	80	0	0	Do ...	Do do do
		44	4. Devamajee	...	1856	30	0	0	Mercara Treasury (Coorg).	G. O. No. P. P. 53-54, dated 24th September 1903.
11	Manjarabad ...	45	1. M. Krishnappa Naik	...	1880	127	1	10	State Huzur Treasury, Bangalore.	G. O. No. 28—P. & A. 2 of 1894, dated 22nd June 1895.
		46	2. Ramaswami Naik	...	...	6	0	0	Do ...	G. O. No. 5681-2—S. & A. 272-10, dated 16th May 1911.
		47	3. Chik Krishnappa Naik	...	...	6	0	0	Do ...	Do do do
		48	4. Thimmaraya	...	1854	12	0	0	Do ...	G. O. No. 33, dated 18th and 19th June 1875.
		49	5. K. Krishnappa Naik	...	1873	34	8	7	Do ...	G. O. No. 29—S. & A. 3 of 1894, dated 22nd June 1895.
		50	6. Krishnajamanni and Gopalakrishnaswami Naik.		1854 1871	153	10	4	Do ...	G. O. No. 6-7—P. P. F. 6 of 1896-97, dated 4th and 5th August 1897.
		51	7. ...		...	39	1	6		.....
		52	8. Chik Krishnappa Naik	...	1858	39	1	4	Do ...	Date of Entertainment 1st February 1864. (Proceedings No. not forthcoming in the old records.)
		53	9. Ammaneyamma	...	...	18	15	9	Do ...	G. O. No. Fl. 5683-4—S. & A. 272-102, dated 16th May 1911.
12	Mudemadagu ...	54	1. Kadarpathi Naik	...	1871	31	12	1	Do ...	G. O. No. 1481-2-102, dated 1st July 1885.
13	Molakalmuru ...	55	1. N. Kesava Naik	...	1865	42	3	0	Do ...	G. O. No. Fl. 1772-3 —S. & A. 171-10-2, dated 30th September 1910.
		56	2. Bharmappa Naik	...	...	42	3	0	Do ...	G. O. No. Fl. 1772-3—S. & A. 171-10-2, dated 30th September 1910.

Number of Pallegar Families	Name of Family	Serial No.	Name of Pallegar	Date of birth	Amount of monthly stipend	The place of payment	Government order sanctioning the stipend	Remarks
					Rs. a. p.			
	Chikballapur—*concld.*	14	3. Chikvenkata Lakshmmanni.	1856	101 4 0	State Huzur Treasury, Bangalore.	G. O. No. 117—Residency, dated 12th August 1880.	
		15	4. Puttanniah ...	...	33 12 0	Do ...	G. O. No. Fl. 3408-9—S. and A. 127-09-7, dated 19th January 1910.	
		16	5. Venkata Narayanswami ...	1880	158 14 6	Do ...	G. O. No. 4995-499, dated 13th December 1887.	
		17	6. Anantha Ramaswami ...	...	63 8 10	Do ...	G. O. No. Fl. 7770-1—S. and A. 175-08-5, dated 7th June 1909.	
6	Chitaldrug ...	18	1. Dodda Madakere Naik ...	1883	84 12 8	Chitaldrug District Treasury.	G. O. No. 189-91-2, dated 6th April 1891.	
		19	2. B. Lingarajiah ...	...	30 0 2	Do ...	G. O. No. Fl. 3128—S. and A. 293-10, dated 22nd December 1917.	
		20	3. Heri Madakere Naik ...	1883	30 0 2	State Huzur Treasury, Bangalore.	G. O. No. P. P. 96-97, dated 27—29th April 1898.	
		21	4. Murugappa Naik ...	1864	60 0 4	Chitaldrug District Treasury.	Date of Entertainment 28th August 1878. (Proceedings No. not forthcoming in the old records.)	
		22	5. Mangamma Nagathi ...	...	60 0 4	State Huzur Treasury, Bangalore.	G. O. No. Fl. 1212—S. and A. 293-16, dated 6—7th September 1917.	
		23	6. Tirumal Naik (minor) ...	..	63 8 11	Do ...	G. O. No. 7608-9—S. and A. 142-08-15, dated 21st May 1909.	
		24	7. Kasturi Rangappa Naik ...	...	63 8 10	Do ...	G. O. No. Fl. 1213—S. and A. 293-16, dated 6th September 1917.	
		25	8. Veera Madakere Naik (minor).	1895	47 10 7	Do ...	G. O. No. P. P. 4-421-92, dated 27th July 1896.	
		26	9. Hanumamma Nagathi ...	1859	56 5 9	Do ...	G. O. No. 2775-177, dated 20th August 1872.	
		27	10. Thayamajee ...	1867	56 5 7	Chitaldrug District Treasury.	Do do ...	
		28	11. Chik Satyamma ...	1870	56 5 7	State Huzur Treasury, Bangalore.	Do do ...	
		29	12. Madakere Naik (minor) ...	...	101 0 10	Do ...	G. O. No. 4900-1—S. and A. 77-09-8, 4th April 1910.	
		30	13. Krishnaswami Naik ...	...	31 12 6	Do ...	G. O. No. Fl. 18-9—S. and A. 257-10-2, dated 3rd July 1911.	

List of Pallegar pensions paid by the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore corrected up to November 1918.

Number of Pallegar Families	Name of Family	Serial No.	Name of Pallegar	Date of birth	Amount of monthly stipend	The place of payment	Government order sanctioning the stipend	Remarks
					Rs. a. p.			
	Anegondi ...	1	1. Mr. Krishnaraju Urs ...	1867	63 8 10	State Huzur Treasury Bangalore.	G. O. No. 9, dated 9th September 1893.	
		2	2. A. Channama Rajiya ...	1872	48 11 7	Do ...	G. O. No. 66-68—P. P. F. No. 5-93, dated 23rd June 1899, and No. Fl. 4937-8—S. and A. 64-10-4, dated 16th May 1912.	
		3	3. Venkatarama Raju ...	...	24 5 10	Do ...	G. O. No. Fl. 2291-2—S. and A. 31-15-2, dated 22nd November 1915.	
		4	Narashima Raju ...	...	24 5 10	Do ...	.....	
		5	4. Narayana Raju (minor) ...	...	24 10 10	Do ...	G. O. No. 6660-1—S. and A. 623-07-2, dated 22nd June 1908, and No. Fl. 4937-8— S. and A. 64-10-4, dated 16th May 1912.	
		6	5. Narasimhadeva Raju ...	...	24 0 9	Do ...	G. O. No. Fl. 5592-3—S. and A. 64-10-6, dated 11th May 1911, and No. Fl. 4937-8—S. and A. 64-10-4, dated 16th May 1912.	
		7	6. Ramanappa Naik ...	1888	25 6 10	Do ...	G. O. No. 4437-8—P. P. 26, dated 22nd September 1902.	
2	Anekal ...	8	AKRajanmani	...	222 6 10	.....		
			1. Lakshminarasamma ...		50 0 0	.....	G. O. No. Fl. 2238-9—S. and A. 178-14-3, dated 27th November 1914.	
			2. Do on behalf of her sons.		15 14 4	.....		
3	Bagalur ...	9	3.	~~1883~~	15 14 2	Do ...	G. O. No. Fl. 6320-1—S. and A. 178-14-6, dated 5th May 1918, and Fl. 7535-4—S. and A. 178-14-10, dated 13th August 1915.	
			4 B. J. Krishnaswami Naik.		15 14 2	.....		
			5 Akkanamma ...		15 14 2	.....		
4	Bidaguthi ...	10	1. Puttaswami (minor) ...	...	63 8 10	Do ...	G. O. No. Fl. 6459-60—S. and A. 394-07-2, dated 11th June 1908.	
		11	2. Jagannva ...	1885	63 8 10	Do ...	G. O. No. Fl. 6814-5—S. and A. 374-07-7, dated 30th June 1908.	
		12	3. Sree Ranga Raya ...	1896	76 4 1	Do ...	G. O. No. P. P. 124-5, dated 8th February 1904.	
5	Chikballapur ...	13	1. Puttaparthi Narasimhaswami ...	...	305 1 2	Do ...	Fl 5538-9..... 2/ 3-4-15 5467-14-0	